Received 2 November 2006 Accepted 17 January 2007

Acta Crystallographica Section B Structural Science

ISSN 0108-7681

Kunihisa Sugimoto,^a Robert E. Dinnebier^a* and Jonathan C. Hanson^b

^aMax-Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstrasse 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany, and ^bChemistry Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

Correspondence e-mail: r.dinnebier@fkf.mpg.de

Structures of three dehydration products of bischofite from *in situ* synchrotron powder diffraction data (MgCl₂·nH₂O; n = 1, 2, 4)

High-quality in situ synchrotron powder diffraction data have been used to investigate the decomposition products of bischofite in the temperature range 298 < T < 873 K. At least eight phases could be identified: MgCl₂ $\cdot n$ H₂O (n = 1, 2, 4and 6), MgOHCl·nH₂O (0 $\leq n \leq$ 1.0), MgCl₂ and MgO. The crystal structures of three magnesium chloride hydrates MgCl₂ $\cdot n$ H₂O (n = 1, 2, 4) were determined *ab initio*, replacing published Rietveld refinements from low-quality powder diffraction data based on similarity criteria. MgCl₂·4H₂O was found to be disordered and has been correctly determined for the first time. The crystal structures of bischofite and MgCl₂·4H₂O consist of discrete Mg(H₂O)₆ and MgCl₂(H₂O)₄ octahedra, respectively. The crystal structure of MgCl₂·2H₂O is formed by single chains of edge-sharing MgCl₂(H₂O)₄ octahedra, while in the case of MgCl₂·H₂O double chains of edge-sharing MgCl(H₂O)₅ octahedra are found. The phases in the system MgCl₂-H₂O are intermediates in the technologically important process of MgO and subsequently Mg production. The same phases were recently found to be of key importance in the understanding of cracks in certain magnesia concrete floors.

1. Introduction

The mineral bischofite, $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (Fig. 1), is a crystalline marine evaporate which was named after the German chemist Karl Gustav Bischof (1792–1870), who was the first to discover it in 1876 between rock salt layers in Leopoldshall near Stassurt. Bischofite, which is very hygroscopic, has a characteristic bitter-salty, pungent, burning taste. It is widely distributed and quite common in formations with different geologic ages.

Bischofite has a huge variety of applications in industry and medicine. Most importantly it is a raw material for the production of magnesium oxide, magnesium hydroxide and magnesium metal. From 1 m^3 of bischofite brine with a density of 420 kg m⁻³, 177 kg of magnesium oxide or 107 kg of magnesium metal can be produced. Bischofite itself is an effective and fast ice melter, acts as a versatile fertilizer, is used in fire protection and fire fighting, and has various medical applications as a basis for a series of drugs.

Bischofite crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/m with lattice parameters of a = 9.8607 (2), b = 7.1071 (2), c = 6.0737 (2) Å, $\beta = 93.758$ (2)°, Z = 2. Its crystal structure was first determined in 1934 by Andress & Gundermann (1934).

During our investigation of the thermal decomposition of chlorartinite (Sugimoto *et al.*, 2006), a volcanic exhalation product also found in some magnesia concrete floors, several phases of magnesium chloride hydrate including bischofite were encountered, most of which do not have a reported or

© 2007 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Singapore – all rights reserved confirmed crystal structure. The only source for structural information was a paper by Kaduk (2002), where the crystal structures of MgCl₂·nH₂O (n = 1, 2, 4) were anticipated on the basis of comparison to similar compounds in the ICSD database and later refined using low-quality X-ray powder diffraction data from poorly diffracting samples isolated from preparations of polypropylene catalysts.

A variety of papers have been published on the thermal decomposition and reaction kinetics of bischofite and its subsequent dehydrated phases (Kashani-Nejad *et al.*, 2005; Galwey & Laverty, 1989; Kirsh *et al.*, 1987; Gardner & Messing, 1984; Herbstein *et al.*, 1982; Petzold & Naumann, 1980; Buzagh-Gere *et al.*, 1973). They all agree that heating rate as well as pressure and composition of the surrounding atmosphere strongly influence the transition temperatures and the occurrence of the different alkaline magnesium chlorides. In particular, the phase MgOHCl·*n*H₂O ($0.0 \le n \le 1.0$) is a subject of controversial discussion concerning the amount of water in the crystal structure. Unfortunately, the low crystal-linity and therefore the low quality of the powder pattern of MgOHCl does not permit the determination of the crystal structure.

Due to the technological importance of the system MgCl₂– H_2O and the lack of structural information, we decided to investigate the thermal decomposition of bischofite up to a temperature of T = 873 K by the method of *in situ* synchrotron powder diffraction and to accurately determine the crystal structures of all insufficiently characterized crystalline phases which occur in the course of the dehydration process.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation

 $MgCl_2{\cdot}6H_2O$ (bischofite) from Aldrich Chemical Co was used as purchased.



Figure 1

The crystal packing of $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (bischofite; Andress & Gundermann, 1934) in a parallel projection approximately down the crystallographic *c* axis. Mg-atom positions are in white, Cl-atom positions are in green, O-atom positions are in red and H-atom position are in gray.

2.2. Thermal analyisis

Thermal analysis of bischofite was conducted using simultaneous DTA/TGA/MS techniques. For DTA/TGA/MS investigations a simultaneous thermal analyzer STA 409 (Netzsch, Selb) was used with the samples in alumina crucibles in an argon gas (99.999%) flow of 100 ml min⁻¹ at a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹ (Fig. 2).

2.3. Powder diffraction

In situ powder diffraction data were collected at the SUNY X7B beamline at the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory. X-rays of wavelength λ = 0.92187 Å were selected by a double flat-crystal monochromator in an ultra-high vacuum located 8 m from the source. The X-ray beam was focused vertically by a spherical rhodium-coated silicon carbide mirror located 5 m from the source and horizontally by a cylindrical aluminium mirror with nickel plating coated by rhodium located 13 m from the source. The size of the beam was adjusted to a height of approximately 0.5 mm and a width of 2 mm. As the detector a MAR 345 image plate reader was set up perpendicular to the beam path at a distance of approximately 140 mm from the sample. LaB₆ (SRM660a) was used as an external standard to determine the beam center, sample-to-detector distance, exact wavelength and tilting angle of the image plate. The sample was contained in one-side-opened quartz capillaries with diameter 0.5 mm loaded in a sapphire tube of 0.8 mm diameter attached to a flow-reaction cell (Parise et al., 2000; Chupas et al., 2001). The temperature was monitored and controlled by a 0.01 in K thermocouple (Omega), which was inserted straight into the sapphire tube adjacent to and contacting the sample capillary. The sample was aligned such that the sample closest to the thermocouple was in the X-ray beam path. The flow cell was purged by helium gas (99.999%) and the exit gases were analyzed by an SRS rare-gas analyzer. The sample was heated



Figure 2

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and mass spectrometry of mass number 18 (H_2O) and 36 (HCl) for bischofite, MgCl₂·6H₂O, on heating up from 298 to 773 K. Points of weight loss (%) were estimated from molecular weight based on the formula.

Table 1

Experimental details.

	$MgCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$	MgCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O	MgCl ₂ ·H ₂ O	
Crystal data				
Chemical formula	Cl ₂ H ₈ MgO ₄	Cl ₂ H ₄ MgO ₂	Cl ₂ H ₂ MgO	
M_r	167.27	131.24	113.23	
Cell setting, space group	Orthorhombic, Cmcm	Monoclinic, C2/m	Orthorhombic, Pnma	
Temperature (K)	358	369	434	
a, b, c (Å)	4.21616 (13), 11.0230 (4), 7.2951 (3)	7.4279 (4), 8.5736 (4), 3.65065 (16)	8.9171 (6), 3.63421 (18), 11.4775 (7)	
β (°)	90.0	98.580 (2)	90.0	
$V(Å^3)$	339.04 (2)	229.89 (2)	371.95 (4)	
Ζ	2	2	4	
$D_x ({\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3})$	1.638	1.896	2.022	
Radiation type	Synchrotron	Synchrotron	Synchrotron	
Specimen form, colour	Particle morphlogy, white	Particle morphlogy, white	Particle morphlogy, white	
Data collection				
Diffractometer	NSLS X7B	NSLS X7B	NSLS X7B	
Data collection method	Specimen mounting: quartz capillary; mode: transmission	Specimen mounting: quartz capillary; mode: transmission	Specimen mounting: quartz capillary; mode: transmission	
2θ (°)	$2\theta_{\min} = 5.308, 2\theta_{\max} = 49.415, \text{ increment} = 0.0218$	$2\theta_{\min} = 5.308, 2\theta_{\max} = 49.415, \text{ increment} = 0.0218$	$2\theta_{\min} = 5.308, 2\theta_{\max} = 49.415, \text{ increment} = 0.0218$	
Refinement				
Refinement on	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	_	-	
R factors	$R_p = 0.011, R_{wp} = 0.019, R_{exp} = 0.036$	$R_p = 0.010, R_{wp} = 0.017, R_{exp} = 0.036$	$R_p = 0.009, R_{wp} = 0.012, R_{exp} = 0.037$	
Wavelength of incident radiation (Å)	0.92187	_	_	
Profile function	CW profile function No. 4 with 18 terms	CW profile function No. 4 with 18 terms	CW profile function No. 4 with 18 terms	
No. of parameters	12	10	17	
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters not defined	Refined independently	Refined independently	
Weighting scheme	LOOPARRAY	LOOPARRAY	LOOPARRAY	
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max}$	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	

in the temperature range 298–873 K with a small resistance heater wrapped around the sapphire tube. The heating rate was 3.33 K min^{-1} . During exposure, the sample was rocked for several degrees in order to improve randomization of the crystallites. An exposure time of 30 s was chosen based on the



Figure 3

Two-dimensional projection (simulated heating-Guinier pattern) of the observed scattered X-ray intensity for magnesium chloride hydrate as a function of diffraction angle (x axis, $6.0-48.0^{\circ} 2\theta$) and temperature (y axis, 298–873 K, 3.33 K min⁻¹, scans 1–94). The wavelength was 0.92187 Å. The observed phases were identified as follows; (a) MgCl₂·6H₂O; (b) MgCl₂·4H₂O; (c) MgCl₂·2H₂O; (d) MgCl₂·H₂O; (e) and (f) MgOHCl·*n*H₂O, $0.0 \le x \le 1.0$; (g) MgCl₂-MgO; (h) MgO. This figure was prepared with *POWDER3D* (Hinrichsen *et al.*, 2006).

saturation intensity of the image plate. The total time per scan was 110 s arising from the read-out time of 80 s. Integration of the full-circle powder patterns was performed using the program *POWDER3D* (Hinrichsen *et al.*, 2006) resulting in diagrams of corrected intensities *versus* the scattering angle 2θ (Fig. 3) in steps of 0.0218° (2θ) from 5.308° to 49.416° (2θ). Spikes owing to the large grain sizes of highly crystalline bischofite and reflections owing to the single-crystal sapphire capillary were automatically excluded by *POWDER3D*. After



Figure 4

Scattered X-ray intensity for phase (e) at T = 542 K and (f) at T = 640 K for MgOHCl·*n*H₂O, $0.0 \le x \le 1.0$, and MgCl₂ (simulated) as a function of diffraction angle 2 θ . The wavelength was $\lambda = 0.92187$ Å. The simulated powder pattern of MgCl₂ was calculated using *FullProf* with ICSD database 86439 (Partin & O'Keeffe, 1991).

Table 2

Reaction schemes for thermal decomposition of bischofite, MgCl₂·6H₂O, with transition temperatures as derived from *in situ* synchrotron powder pattern data recorded in a dry helium gas stream.

Phase	Equation	Transition temperature (K)
<i>(a)</i>	MgCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	298
(b)	$MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O \rightarrow MgCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 2H_2O$	369
(c)	$MgCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O \rightarrow MgCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O + 2H_2O$	380
(d)	$MgCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O \rightarrow MgCl_2 \cdot H_2O + H_2O$	426
(<i>e</i>)	$ \operatorname{MgCl}_2 \cdot \operatorname{H}_2 O \to \operatorname{Mg(OH)Cl} \cdot n \operatorname{H}_2 O + \operatorname{HCl} + (1 - n) \operatorname{H}_2 O $ $ (0 \le n \le 0.3) $	466
(f)	$Mg(OH)Cl \cdot 0.3H_2O \rightarrow Mg(OH)Cl + 0.3H_2O$	612
(g)	$2Mg(OH)Cl \rightarrow MgO + MgCl_2 + H_2O$	671
(<i>h</i>)	$MgCl_2 + H_2O \rightarrow MgO + 2HCl$	741

the first step of dehydration, the diffracted intensity of all the observed phases was quite uniformly distributed over the Debye–Scherrer rings, ruling out severe grain-size effects and preferred orientation. MgCl₂·4H₂O was the most crystalline phase of all lower hydrates of magnesium chloride with low-angle diffraction peaks having a minimum FWHM of 0.215° (2 θ).

3. Structure solution and refinement

A two-dimensional projection (simulated heating-Guinier patterns) of the observed scattered X-ray intensities for magnesium chloride hydrate as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 3. At least eight different phase ranges could be identified, partly using the program *Match* (Brandenburg & Putz, 2006): (a) MgCl₂·6H₂O, (b) MgCl₂·4H₂O, (c) MgCl₂·2H₂O, (d) MgCl₂·H₂O, (e) and (f) MgOHCl·nH₂O (0.0 $\leq n \leq 1.0$), (g) MgCl₂ and MgO, and (h) MgO.



Figure 5

Scattered X-ray intensity for MgCl₂·4H₂O at T = 358 K as a function of diffraction angle 2 θ . Shown are the observed pattern (diamonds), the best Rietveld-fit profile in ${}^{\circ}2\theta$ (*a*), the difference curve between observed and calculated profile (*b*), and the reflection markers (vertical bars). The wavelength was $\lambda = 0.92187$ Å. The *R* values are $R_p = 0.0114$, $R_{wp} = 0.019$, and $R_{F^2} = 0.078$.

The crystal structures of MgCl₂·6H₂O, MgCl₂ and MgO were taken from the ICSD database (Agron & Busing, 1985; Partin & O'Keeffe, 1991; Chizmeshya et al., 2002). Any determine attempts to the crystal structures of MgOHCl·*n*H₂O (0 < n < 1.0) did not succeed because of the low crystallinity of the compounds (Fig. 4). For the structure determination of MgCl₂ $\cdot n$ H₂O (n = 1, 2, 4), the powder patterns at T = 358 K (scan No. 12) for MgCl₂·4H₂O, at T =396 K (scan No. 19) for MgCl₂·2H₂O, at T = 434 K (scan No. 26) for MgCl₂·H₂O, at T = 542 K (scan No. 41), and at T =640 K (scan No. 57) were selected (Figs. 5-7). Indexing and space-group determination of MgCl₂ $\cdot n$ H₂O (n = 1, 2, 4) were performed using the programs DASH (David et al., 1998) and DICVOL91 (Boultif & Louër, 1991) and led to lattice para-











Scattered X-ray intensity for MgCl₂·H₂O at T = 434 K as a function of the diffraction angle 2θ . Shown are the observed pattern (diamonds), the best Rietveld-fit profile in $^{\circ}2\theta$ (*a*), the difference curve between observed and calculated profile (*b*), and the reflection markers (vertical bars). The wavelength was $\lambda = 0.92187$ Å. The *R* values are $R_p = 0.0091$, $R_{wp} = 0.012$, and $R_{F^2} = 0.075$.

meters given in Table 1. The possible space groups (C2cm, $Cmc2_1$ and Cmcm for MgCl₂·4H₂O, C2, Cm and C2/m for MgCl₂·2H₂O, and $Pn2_1a$ and Pnma for MgCl₂·H₂O) and the number of formula units per unit cell (Z = 2) were found by applying the extinction rules and volume increments.

A preliminary Le Bail fit (LeBail et al., 1988) using the program GSAS (Larson & Von Dreele, 2000) worked well after fixing the lattice parameters. For the description of the peak profile, a pseudo-Voigt function (Thompson et al., 1987) was used. Structural starting models of MgCl₂ $\cdot n$ H₂O (n = 1, 2,4) for Rietveld refinement were subsequently found with the Monte Carlo simulated annealing program FOX (Favre-Nicolin & Cerný, 2002) using the lattice parameters from the previous Le Bail fit in space groups Cmcm (scan No. 63) for MgCl₂·4H₂O, C2/m (scan No. 12) for MgCl₂·2H₂O and Pnma (scan No. 62) for MgCl₂·H₂O. The angular range was restricted from 6.0 to 42.83° (2 θ) (sin $\theta/\lambda = 0.4$). The profile parameters needed for the FOX program were taken from the profile matching of the first peaks of MgCl₂ $\cdot n$ H₂O (n = 1, 2, 4) using the program FULLPROF (Rodriguez-Carvajal, 1990). Initially, an ideal MgCl₂O₄ octahedron was created in FOX, with two Cl atoms occupying the vertices and four O atoms occupying the equatorial positions. H atoms were neglected. In all cases, after approximately 1 million cycles (corresponding to 1 h on a 2 GHz standard PC) promising starting models in terms of crystal packing were found and subjected to Rietveld refinement using the GSAS program. Starting values for the lattice parameters, the background, and the peak profile were taken from the corresponding Le Bail fits. In the case of MgCl₂ $\cdot n$ H₂O (n = 1, 2), H atoms were introduced and held fixed at idealized positions. This was not possible for MgCl₂·4H₂O owing to the apparent disorder in the crystal structure. All other non-H atoms (Mg, Cl and O) were freely refined using isotropic displacement parameters. Quick convergence was reached for all three crystal structures. Nevertheless, in the case of MgCl₂·4H₂O, the bond lengths of Mg-O and Mg-Cl were out of the expected ranges with a large displacement parameter of Mg. An anisotropic refine-



Figure 8

Crystal packing of MgCl₂·4H₂O in a parallel projection approximately down the crystallographic *a* axis. Mg-atom positions are in white, Cl-atom positions are in green and O-atom positions are in red. Semi-transparent MgCl₂(H₂O)₄ polyhedra are drawn. Note that the occupancy of each MgCl₂(H₂O)₄ octahedron is 50%.

ment of the displacement parameters of the O and Cl atoms located on the special site 4(c) (m2m symmetry; 0, y, 1/4) showed a spread along the c axis. Therefore, the symmetry restrictions for these O and Cl atoms were lowered from the position 4(c) to 8(f) (m symmetry; 0, y, z) with a site occupancy of 50%, introducing positional disorder. A subsequent Rietveld refinement immediately converged and led to reasonable bond lengths and displacement parameters, thus confirming the modified structural model.

The maximum residuals in the electron density map found were between -0.51 and 0.43 e Å⁻³ for MgCl₂·4H₂O, between -0.38 and 0.41 e Å⁻³ for MgCl₂·2H₂O, and between -0.54 and 0.55 e Å⁻³ for MgCl₂·H₂O. The final Rietveld plots are given in Figs. 5–7. Crystallographic data and agreement factors of the Rietveld refinement are listed in Table 1. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and bond angles are presented in the supplemental material.¹

4. Structure description

4.1. Crystal structure of MgCl₂·4H₂O

The crystal packing of $MgCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ is shown in Fig. 8 in a projection down the *a* axis. The disordered crystal structure of $MgCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ consists of discrete $Mg(H_2O)_4Cl_2$ octahedra with the two Cl atoms occupying the axial positions and the four water molecules occupying the equatorial positions. The Mg-Cl bond lengths of 2.311 Å and Mg-O bond lengths of 2.108 and 2.109 Å are within the expected ranges. The deviations of the bond angles from ideal octahedral geometry is below 5°.

Owing to the disorder, only every second $Mg(H_2O)_4Cl_2$ octahedron is occupied, leading to a shortest intermolecular distance of 3.104 Å for $Cl \cdots O2$, enabling the formation of hydrogen bonds between neighboring octahedra.

The crystal structure of MgCl₂·4H₂O (PDF-53-258) as reported by Kaduk (2002) in space group $P2_1/c$ exhibits discrete Mg(H₂O)₄Cl₂ octahedra and can be considered an ordered variant of our findings.

4.2. Crystal structures of MgCl₂·2H₂O and MgCl₂·H₂O

The crystal structure of $MgCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ can be described as a one-dimensional chain structure consisting of edge-sharing $Mg(H_2O)_2Cl_4$ octahedra parallel to the *c* axis (Fig. 9). The Cl atoms occupy the four bridging equatorial positions and the water molecules are located at axial positions.

The crystal structure of $MgCl_2 \cdot H_2O$ consists of onedimensional double chains of edge-sharing $Mg(H_2O)Cl_5$ octahedra parallel to the *b* axis (Fig. 10). The Cl atoms act as bridging ligands, occupying the four equatorial and one of the axial positions. Thus, three edges of each octahedron are shared, two perpendicular to the chain axis and one connecting the two neighboring single chains. The water molecule is located at the second axial position which is unbridged.

¹ Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KD5011). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

research papers

The quality of the crystal structure descriptions of $MgCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ and $MgCl_2 \cdot H_2O$ (Kaduk, 2002)² has been considerably improved by using synchrotron powder diffraction data on single phases.

4.3. Crystal structure of MgOHCl·nH₂O ($0 \le n \le 1.0$)

The powder pattern of the phases (e) and (f) which are attributed to MgOHCl·nH₂O ($0 \le n \le 1.0$) reflect the low crystallinity of the material and cannot be unambiguously indexed, thus preventing the determination of their crystal structure. Nevertheless, a visual comparison of the powder patterns of phases (e) at T = 542 K and (f) at T = 640 K with the simulated powder pattern of MgCl₂ using the data of Partin & O'Keeffe (1991) clearly reveals many similarities between the peak positions and intensities (Fig. 5). Additional reflections indicate a distortion to a lower symmetric unit cell. Nevertheless, it may thus be speculated that the basic crystal structures are identical. From TGA analysis of bischofite (Fig. 2) it is known that water and hydrogen chloride are continuously removed from the crystal structure in the phase range of MgOHCl·nH₂O ($0 \le n \le 1.0$). The peak shape, which is typical for the presence of stacking faults, suggests severe disorder of a layer-type crystal structure of phases (e) and (f).

Chloromagnesite, MgCl₂, crystallizes in the space group $R\overline{3}m$ and is isostructural to CdCl₂, which is considered to be the aristotype for all water-free divalent metal chlorides $A^{2+2}(Cl^{-})$ (A = Ca, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Mg). In this structure type, the chlorine atoms are forming a distorted cubic closed packing (c.c.p.) with the metal atoms occupying one quarter of the octahedral voids, thus forming two-dimensional sheets of edge-sharing ACl₆ octahedra (Partin & O'Keeffe, 1991). Replacing half of the chlorine anions by hydroxyl groups leads to the known structure type $A^{2+}(OH^{-})(Cl^{-})$ for e.g. A = Ca, Cu, Cd, Mg with edge-sharing $A(OH)_3Cl_3$ octahedra. Despite the almost identical packing compared with the divalent metal chlorides, a variety of space groups for metal hydroxyl chlorides $A^{2+}(OH^{-})(Cl^{-})$ is realised depending on the different degrees of distortions owing to the size and polarizability of the cation. Additional non-bonded water molecules can intercept these layers while preserving the basic crystal structure.

5. Discussion and conclusion

While comparing different publications on the dehydration process of bischofite (*e.g.* Kashani-Nejad *et al.*, 2005; Galwey & Laverty, 1989; Kirsh *et al.*, 1987; Gardner & Messing, 1984; Herbstein *et al.*, 1982; Petzold & Naumann, 1980; Buzagh-Gere *et al.*, 1973), it is obvious that the transition temperatures of the various decomposition phases as derived from DTA, TGA and DTG analyses are strongly dependent on the experimental conditions. Our results on thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and mass spectrometry for mass numbers 18 (H₂O) and 36 (HCl) of bischofite are shown in Fig. 2. The weight loss of bischofite is estimated at 17.7% for MgCl₂·4H₂O, 35.4% for MgCl₂·2H₂O, 44.3% for MgCl₂·H₂O, 53.4% for MgOHCl·H₂O, 59.6% for MgOHCl·0.3H₂O, 62.2% for MgOHCl, and 66.7% for MgCl₂ and MgO, as indicated in Fig. 2.

All the previously reported phase transitions could be confirmed by *in situ* synchrotron powder diffraction data as a function of temperature (Fig. 3) in the range $298 \le T \le 873$ K. Based on these diffraction data, a reaction scheme for the



Figure 9

The crystal packing of MgCl₂·2H₂O in a parallel projection approximately down the crystallographic *c* axis. Mg-atom positions are in white, Cl-atom positions are in green, O-atom positions are in red and H-atom position are in gray. Semi-transparent MgCl₂(H₂O)₄ polyhedra are drawn.





The crystal packing of $MgCl_2 \cdot H_2O$ in a parallel projection approximately down the crystallographic *b* axis. Mg-atom positions are in white, Cl-atom positions are in green, O-atom positions are in red and H-atom position are in gray. Semi-transparent $MgCl_2(H_2O)_4$ polyhedra are drawn.

² Atomic coordinates are given in the comment section of PDF 53-259 and PDF 53-260.



Figure 11 Changes in the packing scheme upon dehydration of bischofite.

thermal decomposition of bischofite including transition temperatures has been prepared (Table 2). Compared with the TGA data, the transition temperatures are shifted towards lower temperatures which can be explained by the different humidity conditions and the different nature of the gases used for purging.

The structural changes during the thermal decomposition from MgCl₂·6H₂O to anhydrous MgCl₂ are summarized in Fig. 11, revealing a clear trend in the coordination of the Mg atoms. It is obvious that O atoms are the preferred ligands compared with Cl atoms. The following packing arrangements are found depending on the decreasing amount of crystal water. The crystal structure of bischofite consists of isolated and ordered $Mg(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ octahedra and Cl^- anions (Fig. 1). After the loss of two water molecules, the crystal structure of MgCl₂·4H₂O consists of isolated and disordered but neutral Mg(H₂O)₄Cl₂ octahedra with the two Cl anions in trans-equatorial positions. Upon the release of the next two water molecules, the crystal structure of MgCl₂·2H₂O is forced to form infinite single chains consisting of $Mg(H_2O)_2Cl_4$ octahedra with the Cl atoms occupying all the equatorial positions. The next loss of a single water molecule results in the building of infinite double chains of Mg(H₂O)Cl₅ octahedra in the crystal structure of MgCl₂·H₂O where the remaining water molecule occupies the axial position pointing away from the chain. After stripping the last remaining water molecule, the well known infinite sheet structure of MgCl₂ is formed. The occurrence of two distinct magnesium hydroxychloride phases MgOHCl·nH₂O $(0 \le n \le 1.0)$ which occur before anhydrous MgCl₂ is formed has been confirmed (Figs. 3 and 4). The poor crystallinity so far prevented the direct determination of their crystal structures, but due to the apparent similarity to the powder pattern of MgCl₂ it may be concluded that their crystal structure is a distorted variant of the MgCl₂ structure with sheets of MgCl₃(OH)₃ octahedra and free water molecules between the sheets.

This work was carried out in part at the National Synchrotron Light Source at Brookhaven National Laboratory, which is supported by the US Department of Energy, Division of Materials Sciences and Division of Chemical Sciences under contract DE-AC02-98CH10886 by the US Department of Energy, Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic and Energy Sciences. Special thanks go to Dr Andreas Leineweber (Max Planck Institute for Metals Research) for helpful discussion and Dr Christian P. M. Oberndorfer (Max Planck Insti-

tute for Solid State Research) for conducting the DTA/TGA/ MS as well as the DSC measurements. Financial support by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), the Rigaku Corporation, and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (FCI) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Agron, P. A. & Busing, W. R. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 8-10.
- Andress, K. R. & Gundermann, J. (1934). Z. Kristallogr. 87, 345-369.
- Boultif, A. & Louër D. (1991). J. Appl. Cryst. 24, 987-993.
- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2006). *MATCH*, Version 1.4b. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Buzagh-Gere, E., Gal, S. & Simon, J. (1973). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 400, 37–44.
- Chizmeshya, A. V. G., McKelvy, M. J., Sharma, R., Carpenter, R. W. & Bearat, H. (2002). *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **77**, 416–425.
- Chupas, P. J., Circaolo, M. F., Hanson, J. C. & Grey, C. P. (2001). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123, 1694–1702.
- David, W. I. F., Shankland, K. & Shankland, N. (1998). Chem. Commun. pp. 931–932.
- Favre-Nicolin, V. & Cerný, R. (2002). J. Appl. Cryst. 35, 734-743.
- Galwey, A. K. & Laverty, G. M. (1989). *Thermochim. Acta*, **138**, 115–127.
- Gardner, T. J. & Messing, G. L. (1984). Thermochim. Acta, 78, 17–27.
- Herbstein, F. H., Kapon, M. & Weissman, A. (1982). Isr. J. Chem. 22, 207–213.
- Hinrichsen, B., Dinnebier, R. E. & Jansen, M. (2006). Z. Kristallogr. Suppl. 23, 231–236.
- Kaduk, J. A. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 370-379.
- Kashani-Nejad, S., Ng, K. W. & Harris, R. (2005). *Metall. Trans. B*, **36**, 153–157.
- Kirsh, Y., Yariv, S. & Shoval, S. (1987). J. Thermal Anal. 32, 393–408.
- Larson, A. C. & Von Dreele, R. B. (2000). *GSAS*. Report LAUR 86–748. Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico, USA.

- Le Bail, A., Duroy, H. & Fourquet, J. L. (1988). Mater. Res. Bull. 23, 447–452.
- Parise, J. B., Cahill, C. L. & Lee, Y. J. (2000). Can. Mineral. 38, 777–800.
- Partin, D. E. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991). J. Solid State Chem. 95, 176-183.
- Petzold, D. & Naumann, R. (1980). J. Thermal Anal. 19, 25-34.
- Rodriguez-Carvajal, J. (1990). Abstracts of the Satellite Meeting on Powder Diffraction of the XV Congress of the IUCr, p. 127. Toulouse, France.
- Sugimoto, K., Dinnebier, R. E. & Schlecht, T. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. **39**, 739–744.
- Thompson, P., Cox, D. E. & Hastings, J. B. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 79–83.